G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 171

Serial Nos. 1—10

Papers Filed.

Month May 1907.

SUBJECT.

Complaint of misappropriation of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Valagerehalli, Channapatna Taluk & management thereof.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

190

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE

File No. 171-06

Subject.

In re The alleged misappropriation of the produce of the Inam Lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Valagerehalli, Channapatna Tk

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

No. 40 Dated 22 October 1906

The temple of Sri Anjaneyaswamy at Valagerehalli in the Channapatna Taluk is a muzrai institution being endowed with Inam lands.

(Slip A) On the 24th August 1906, Giri Gowda Channe Gowda and certain others of Valagerehalli memorialized H. H The Maharaja Complaining that Javaraji Gowda was misappropriating the produce of the Inam lands and that the key of the temple was in his possession and praying that permission might be granted to them

to carry the deity in procession on tiger Vahanam offered as their Sevartha to the temple.

The memorial which was forwarded to this office for disposal, was referred to the Dy. Commissioner of the Bangalore Distt., and that officer has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the Vernacular records bearing on the subject.

The Dy Commr reports that in the year 1891-2, the temple buildings being badly in need of repairs, the Archak of the temple who was enjoying the Inam lands pleaded his inability to ~~do~~ execute the needful repairs; that Javaraji Gowda and other reputs of the village came forward and agreed to get the repairs done in case the produce was given over to them for 11 or 12 years; that while the matter was under correspondence, Javaraji Gowda completed the repairs at a cost of Rs 240/-. He further (Slip B

Muz. S.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

reports that in 1895, the lands were put in possession of Javaraji Gowda for a period of 10 years and that the total value of the produce (viz 20 Kandies of Ragi) is estimated at Rs 160/- that a portion of the produce was being given to the Archak. That Officer is of opinion that under the above circumstances, it cannot be said that the produce was misappropriated by Javaraji Gowda. It is further reported that the complaint petition is the result of ill feeling between the petitioners and the Javaraji Gowda with regard to the procession of the deity on Tiger Vahanam. A separate reference was made by the Dy. Commr on the latter Subject and the matter is at present under correspondence

Slip 8?

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

4

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

The Dy. Commr. further reports that the (hereditary) Archak of the temple is a Sri Vaishnava Brahmin, that he left the place without permission putting the temple in possession of a Satani Pujari - The Amildar states that though notice was served on the hereditary Archak, he did not present himself before the Amildar, and that as no Brahmin was probably then available, and consequently as Puja had to be stopped, a Satani Pujari was appointed. The Dy. Commr. adds that orders will be issued (by him) for the removal of the old Archak and the appointment of a competent Sri Vaishnava Brahmin in his stead. (Slip D

Submitted.

Page ( )

Muz. 8

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

The D/Comm's report may be recorded and the Petr. informed in terms of the D/Comm's letter.

The further report may be awaited and with this view the records may be returned to the D/Comm.

No. 196

Subd. to the 2nd Councillor with a draft.

4/11/07

Ellamsatalamutha
31. 10. 1907

Approved

1/11/07

Issue the draft

2/11/07

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.



SC 1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 28th August 1906

No. 551/2

L/
29/8/06

Two Petitions as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, are

transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja

-e, for disposal.

No. 3094310 of 06-07.

By Order,

G Narasimhachar

I. C. S.

Private Secretary

to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

912

Muz 1 M

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

T. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy. Commr
Bangalore Dist

Dated 5th
Despatched Septr 1906
Received
General No.
Muzrai F No 1545

Enclosure No. One

Has the honr to forward herewith for ~~disposal~~ report memorial regd. no. 310/1217 Dy 24th August 06 addressed to H. H. the Maharaja by Giri Gowda and certain others of Valagerahalli in Chennapatna Taluk complaining of the misappropriation of the produce of the Inam alleged

GPB—5,000—21-7-06

land endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at the place by Javaraie Gowda Te.

By order,

5/9/06

Secretary.

1546

Encl- to the petro.

4/9/06

1907-08

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Mysore Department
Dated 12th September 1907
Despatched 14
Received
General No.
168 No.

Enclosure No 1 file

Mysore Secretary's ord
1545 of 5th September 1906.

Stamp re the mis-
appropriation of the proceeds
of the Inamlands ordered
to the Sri Ranganayakaswami
temple at Velagerahalli
in Channapatna taluk

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Dated 12th September 1907

MS. No. 32
Inscriptions
Irave.

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To.

T. Ananthasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your No. 1545, of 5th September 1906, forwarding for report, memorial registered No. 312/1217 of 24th August 1906, addressed to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, by Giri Gowda and certain others of Vala gerehalli, in Sheemahatna Taluk, complaining of misappropriation of the income of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at that place, I have the honour to state that the Amildar reports in his Nos 779 and 134 of 27th February 1907, and 24th August 1907, respectively, that in the year 1891-92, the temple buildings were badly in need of urgent repairs and when the Archak, who was enjoying the

Inam lands, was called upon to get the required repairs done, he refused his liability. Thereupon Jawaraya Jaunda and other ryots of the village complained and agreed to get the repairs done in case the two years of the Inam lands were given to them for a period of 11 or 12 years. While the matter was under correspondence between the Taluk and Sub Division offices, Jawara Jaunda, however, put the work on hand and completed it at a cost of Rs 240. In 1895, the lands were put in possession of Jawara Jaunda and the value of the produce enjoyed by him for the 10 years since 1895 is estimated at Rs 160. During the said period when Jawara Jaunda was enjoying the produce of the Inam lands, a share out of the produce was given to the Pujari for his lively hood.

Under the above circumstances, it cannot be said that Jawara Jaunda has misappropriated the produce of the Inam lands. The Amildar also states that the

ill-feeling that exists between the petitioners and Janaki Devamma in connection with the possession of the Deity Venkatarayaswamy is the real cause for this complaint.

Further it will be seen from the records that there was a Sri Vishnava Brahman Archak for this temple, and he left the place without permission, putting the temple in possession of a Sathani pujari.

The bills of records is herewith submitted and after it is received back, orders will be issued for the removal of the old archak and the appointment of a competent Sri Vishnava Brahman archak visited

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

[illegible]

Mad. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements,
Dated in Mysore.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honour to be furnished with
request ~~that~~ an extract
of the Inam register,
pertaining to the grant of
endowed
Inam lands to the Sri
Anjaneyaswamy temple at
Valagerehalli in the Lokkanna
patna Taluk, ~~may be kindly~~
~~forwarded to this office~~.

By order,

GPB—3,000—21-7-08

Inam Superintendents' Office,
Bangalore dated 30 September 1907.
No 42 -
7.10.1907 SK

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
-ment of Mysore, Muzrai
Department.

Sir,

As requested in your docket No 1642 dated 25th September 1907, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Valagerehalli, Chennapatna Talukk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D. Rama Rao
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in

Register Sheet No. 7.

Taluk. Closepet.

Hobli. Virupakshipura.

Village. Agrahar Valagerehalli.

Copy of the Register

~~Register of~~ Inams in the village of Agrahara Valagerehalli in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimal
1	2	3	4	5
1	Devadaya.	Dry No. 89 and 174.	H. S. account 1940. Krishnaraj. K. K. P. - - 4 - -	"
Title Deed No. 171.		Wet No. Nil now Dry No. 231	- - 2 - 5	
			- - 6 - 5.	

Sammat of Virupakshipura in the Taluk of Late Closepet now Channapatna.

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the rayats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
Rs: as: p: 2 – 5 – 3 1 – 10 – 9 4 – – – Dry P. F. V. Wet " – 8 – " " – 5 – 12 1 – 3 – 12	"	For the benefit of the temple of Hanmanta Devar in this village, which, as per Amil's certificate is in good order and service rendered on every Saturday:—	P – F – V. " – 2 – " Rs: as: p. " – 9 – 4

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE CLAIM	
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification or terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

of Bangalore.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornaiah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
"	"	H. S. account of 1840 Hanumantaraya. K. C. P. P Fn V. Dry " —4— " —8— " Wet " —2—5 " —5—12 " —6—5 1—3—12 Jody. —— " —2— " Remarks: Nil:—.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Agrahar Valagerehalli. Hanumantaraya Devar Manager protem.	"	"	"

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
1. Whole grant allowable.— 2. N. S. account adopted.— 3. The tank was breached about 30 years ago and the wet land is ever since used for raising dry crops.—. 4. The area in column 4 is to be confirmed on ½ Quit-rent — 1—11—4 plus Jodi " —9—4 in all Rs:- 2—4—" under Rule V 1 Title Deed compulsory. 12.4.77 "Signed" Syed Nasrodeen Assistant.	1 Whole grant – Devadaya – Service. 2 Confirmed combined 2 R of Rs 2 annas 4. 22-4-78 "Signed" J. H Mackenzie Officiating Superintendent.
N.B. Since the disposal of the case an order from H. late H dated 28th December 1820 was produced in which mention of one Anjaniah Swamy was made and the proprietor on the strength of this document wants this Inam to be confirmed as valid. I think his request cannot be complied with as no specific mention of the temple was made and it is not known whether it was for this. 13.4.77. "Initld" Syed Nasrodeen Assistant	"Copy" J Mackenzie Superintendent of Inam Settlements Bangalore Dated 30 Septr 1907 2.10.1907

No. 2269 /

516

3882
5-4-08

1907.S. 817

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

Dated 23rd

Despatched March 1908.

Received

General No.

M.S No. 96

Enclosure No.

Refer
H.V.
21/3/08
up

His No- 2270 of 11.12.07.

Stating in re the appointment of an Archak to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Valagerehalli in the Channapatna Taluk

Bangalore District.

96 Deputy Commissioner's Office.

Bangalore 23rd March 1908.

From

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 2270, dated 11th November 1907, re the appointment of an archak to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Valagerehalli in the Channapatna Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar in his No. 566, dated 5th February 1908, reports that Hakdar Archak Shamiengar alias Srinivasaiyar presented before him and produced Krishnaiyar a native of the Malur village

village to do the Archak duties for him (Thumiengar). The Amildar also states that he has permitted the said Krishna-ee Iyengar to do the duties.

2. Agreeing with the Amildar, the acting arrangements have been approved of by me and orders issued accordingly.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
[illegible]

for Dy Commissioner
MG

Sl 8

1907-08.



From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secy: to the Govt of Mysore
Mujrai Deptt

Dated 22nd
Despatched 24th } April 1908.

Received

General No.

M.S. No 102

Enclosure No. 3.

Muz: Secy docket No 3673 dated 12th February 1908. "

Invites reference to this office letter No 96, dated 24-3-08 wherein it is reported that a brahmin archak to the Sri Anjaneya swami temple at Talagerahalli Chennapatna Taluk has been appointed. " —

Refer

28/4/08

This may be filed
vide no 4415 DJ 14/4/08)

Please intimate to the D.J. the orders passed & request him as regards the conduct of Utsavams.

28/4/08

Office of the Dy.Commissioner, Bangalore District.

M.S.No.107 Dated Bangalore, 22nd April 1908.

From

B. J. Kumarasamy Naik Esquire B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

L. Anantasamy Rao Esquire B.A.,

Secy.to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.3673, dated 12th February 1908, forwarding for report, petition from Giri Gowda and others regarding the appointment of a Brahmin archak to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Valagerahalli in the Chennapatna Taluk, I have the honor to invite kind reference to this Office No.96 dated 24.3.1908, wherein it is reported that a brahmin archak has been appointed to the temple. As regards the conduct of certain utsavams, the Assistant Commissioner reports that necessary arrangements are being made in the matter.

2. The vernacular correspondence ending with Closepet Sub-Division Officer's Yadi No.1423 dated 7th April 1908, together with the petition received with the docket under reply is herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Dft for approval
Muznar 5/9 Asst

Read;

Letter no 96 dated 23d march 1908 from the Deputy Commr, Bangalore District, reporting with ref to this office communication no. 2270 dated 11th Novr 1907. that Hakkan Archak Shama iengar alias Sreenivasiengar presented himself and produces one Krishniengar, a native of the Malur village, to do the Archak duties in the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Valagerehalli in the Kolhennapatna Taluk and that the acting arrangements made by the local authorities

permitting the said Krishna
iengar to do the Archak
duties. have been approved
of by him and orders
issued accordingly.

Order thereon no. Dr No 4415 Dt 14.4.1908

The acting arrangements ordered
in regard to the conduct of
the Archak duties in the Sri
Anjaneyaswamy temple at
Valagerehalli, Channapatna
taluk, ~~by Krishniengar~~
~~on behalf of the Hakdar~~
~~Archak~~, are approved.

31/3/08

Dft)

Secretary.

AD/27/3/08

28/3/08

To, The Dy. Commr., Bangalore

Sl 10+ Aud

Muz. 1]

190

From

To

The Dy Commr

Bangalore Distt

Dated

Despatched 11.5.08

Received

General No. 4917

No.

Enclosure No. 3.

Adverting to his letter no. 102 dated 22nd April 08 reporting on the affairs of the Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Valaga Halli in the Channapatna Taluk, has the honor to draw attention to this office letter no. 4346

GPL—3,000—19-9-07

Dated 1st April 1908 and

To request that the

submission of the promised report

regarding the utsava

of the Sri Parthasarathy-

swamy on Tiger Vahana,

may kindly be expedited

2) The enclosures

are herewith returned

By order

Sd/- 1/4/08

Secretary.

29.4.08